**REPORT RD0**

**RISK DEFINITION**

**TECHNICAL RISK ANALYSIS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **REFERENCE:** 98516 | **TIS AGENCY:** CPV ARABIA |
| **TECHNICAL/S RESPONSIBLE/S:** **DESIGN:** Mohamed Aboelmakarem **SITE INSPECTIONS:** Ahmed Mansour (CIVIL ENGINEERING) |
| **REVISION:**Draft | **CONTACT:** Mahmoud Elmasry. |
|  **DATE OF ISSUE:**14-Sep-2024 | **FAX:**  | **PHONE:** 00966553304612 | **EMAIL:** mmasry@cpvarabia.com |

**TITLE I**

|  |
| --- |
| **PRINCIPAL/OWNER:** ﺳﺎﻣﻲ ﻣﺸﻌﺎﻥ ﻣﺎﻃﺮ ﺍﻟﻤﻄﻴﺮﻱ**PROPOSER (IF DIFFERENT):** ﻣﺆﺳﺴﺔ ﻗﻤﻢ ﺍﻻﺑﺘﻜﺎﺭ ﻟﻠﻤﻘﺎﻭﻻﺕ ﺍﻟﻌﺎﻣﺔ**.****PROJECT TITLE:** ﻓﻠﺔ ﺳﺎﻣﻲ ﺍﻟﻤﻄﻴﺮﻱ**ADDRESS:** ﺍﻟﻘﺼﻴﻢ . ﺑﺮﻳﺪﺓ . ﺑﻠﺪﻳﺔ ﺷﻤﺎﻝ ﺑﺮﻳﺪﺓ . ﺣﻲ ﺣﺎﺿﺮﺓ ﺑﺮﻳﺪﺓ.**PROPOSED USE/OCCUPATION:** المباني السكنية.**NUMBER OF BUILDINGS:** 2  |
| **SCOPE OF MISSION:** [x]  **DESIGN**  [ ]  **DESIGN + SITE INSPECTIONS** **- Date of TIS involvement:** 14-Sep-2024 * **Inspections from the commencement of Works**  [x]  **YES** [ ]  **NO**
* **Missions:**

 [x]  **S** [x]  **W.1** [ ]  **E**  [x]  **W.2**  [ ]  **Q** [ ]  **W.3 X, specify:****S:** Solidity and stability, including the envelope elements **W.x:** Waterproofing (**1**- Roofs, **2**- Façades, **3**-Basements)**E:** Existing structures**Q:** Works already started **X:** OtherThe TIS controls, inspections and checks marked with an X above are based on as analysis of the project, according to the requirements of the demander. |

|  |
| --- |
| **PARTIES INVOLVED** **(Full name + Address)****DESIGN:** |
| * **ARCHITECT:** ﻋﺒﺪﺍﻟﺮﺣﻤﻦ ﺍﺑﺮﺍﻫﻴﻢ ﺍﻟﺠﺮﺑﻮﻉ ﻟﻼﺳﺘﺸﺎﺭﺍﺕ ﺍﻟﻬﻨﺪﺳﻴﺔ
* **STRUCTURAL DESIGNER:** ﻋﺒﺪﺍﻟﺮﺣﻤﻦ ﺍﺑﺮﺍﻫﻴﻢ ﺍﻟﺠﺮﺑﻮﻉ ﻟﻼﺳﺘﺸﺎﺭﺍﺕ ﺍﻟﻬﻨﺪﺳﻴﺔ
* **SOIL REPORT:** المهندس نزار الكردي الملي للإستشارات الهندسية (المهندس)

**CONSTRUCTION:** |
| * **MAIN CONTRACTOR:** ﻣﺆﺳﺴﺔ ﻗﻤﻢ ﺍﻻﺑﺘﻜﺎﺭ ﻟﻠﻤﻘﺎﻭﻻﺕ ﺍﻟﻌﺎﻣﺔ
* **SUBCONTRACTORS:**
* **PROJECT SUPERVISOR:** ﺍﻟﺒﻌﺪ ﺍﻻﻭﻝ ﻟﻼﺳﺘﺸﺎﺭﺍﺕ ﺍﻟﻬﻨﺪﺳﻴﺔ
* **QUALITY TESTING FIRMS:**
* **OTHER (SPECIFY):**

**COMMENTS ON REFERENCES OF ARCHITECTS, ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS AND CONTRACTORS OF THE OPERATION, PURPOSE OF THE INSPECTION, IF ANY:** NO REFERENCES AVAILABLE |
| **DOCUMENTS USED IN THIS REPORT*** STRUCTURAL DRAWINGS.
* ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS.
* BILLS OF QUANTITY.
* SOIL INVESTIGATION REPORT.
* PROPOSAL FORM FOR INHERENT DEFECT INSURANCE.

Is there any other document or information missing which is needed for the completion of this report? [x]  **YES**  [ ]  **NO**If YES, please specify: - Construction schedule- Type of concrete used for foundation has not been mentioned in the structure plans.- Type of steel reinforcement used for foundation, Hollow core slabs, Post Tension slabs, has not been mentioned in the structure plans.- Ground slope %- Quality assurance procedures- Method statement- Type of steel section properties for Steel columns, has not been mentioned in the structure plans. |

**CODE TABLE**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **NATURE OF WORKS** | **SOIL RISKS** | **STRUCTURE TYPE** | **SPECIFICATIONS** |
| **CODE N°1: CONSTRUCTION TYPE****A**DETACHED OR SEMI-DETACHED HOUSES UP TO GF+2 AND B-1 **B**TERRACED HOUSES UP TO GF+3 AND B-1**C1**RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS FROM GF+4 TO GF+14 AND UP TO B-2**C2**RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS FROM GF+15 AND/OR FROM B-3**D1**OFFICES, HOTELS, SCHOOLS**D2**HOSPITALS, CLINICS**D3**COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS, RESTAURANTS, SHOPPING MALLS**E1**OTHER PUBLIC BUILDINGS: THEATERS, RELIGIOUSBUILDINGS, STATIONS**E2**STADES**F1**COMMON INDUSTRIAL BUILDINGS, FACTORIES**F2**LOGISTIC PLATFORMS**G1**RESERVOIRS**G2**SPECIAL INDUSTRIAL BUILDINGS, SMOKESTACKS, TANKS, RETAINING WALLS, ETC**H**BRIDGES, FOOTBRIDGES, JUNCTIONS AND OTHER WORKS | **CODE N°2: SLOPE**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| <5% | 5 to 10% | 10% to 20% | 20 to 30% | >30% |

**CODE N°3: GROUND WATER AND AGRESSIVENESS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 0 | no water table |
| 3 | works below water table |
| 4 | works above water table |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| N | non-aggressive water or soil |
| Y | aggressive water or soil |

**CODE N°4: FOUNDATIONS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| A | footings |
| B | raft |
| final settlement | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| <2 cm | 2 to 5 cm | >5 cm |   |   |
| C | piles or contiguous piles wall |
| D | friction piles |
| E | diaphragm wall |
| F | shafts |
| depth | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 0 to 3 m | 3 to 10 m | 10 to 25 m | 25 to 30 m | >30 m |
| Z | Other foundation type |

**CODE N°5: GROUND SPECIFIC RISKS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| O | no ground specific risks |
| P | underground quarries, sinkholes, karsts |
| Q | mining ground |
| R | Anchored earth retaining structure. (h > 3 meters) |
| S | underpinning, basement construction |
| T | ground consolidation (grouting compaction; dynamic compaction/vibration) |
| U | hazardous storage, concentrated surcharge loads, embankment |
| V | Compressive layer |
| W | founding on fill  |
| X | other ground risk |
| Y | at least 2 ground specific risk |
| Z | new foundation type |

 | CODE N°6: STRUCTUREAVERTICAL STRUCTURES MASONRY BREINFORCED CONCRETE CAST IN-SITU**C**PRECAST REINFORCED CONCRETE - PREFABRICATED IN FACTORY RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS FROM GF+4 TO GF+14 AND UP TO B-2**D**PRECAST REINFORCED CONCRETE - ON SITE**E**PRESTRESSED CONCRETE EXCL. POST-TENSIONED CONCRETE **F**STEEL WORKS - SITE ASSEMBLY **G**PRE-ASSEMBLED STEEL WORKS **H**ON SITE WELDING**I**TIMBER STRUCTURE**X**TRADITIONAL COMPOSITE STRUCTURE **Y**WORKS ON EXISTING STRUCTURES - BASEMENT CONSTRUCTION - NEW FLOORS**Z**NEW STRUCTURAL SYSTEMS INCL. POST-TENSIONING | **CODE N°7: FACADE**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| A | concrete wall or masonry  |
| B | sandwich or timber panels  |
| C | curtain walls – steel frame glazing  |
| D | curtain walls – glazing fixed with glue |
| E | curtain walls – glazing fixed bolts |
| F | breathable glazed curtain wall |
| G | cable curtain wall |
| Z | other type |

**CODE N°8: HEIGHT**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|   | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Height - Ho | <25m | 25 to 35m | 35 to 60m | 60 to 100m | >100m |
| Maximum Headroom - Hp | <8m | 8 to 15m | 15 to 35 m | 35 to 50m | >50m |

**CODE N°9: DEPTH**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Depth | <5m | 5 to 10m | 10 to 15m | >15m |

**CODE N°10: SPANS**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Spans | <15m | 15 to 20m | 20 to 30m | 30 to 40m | >40m |
| Spans (timber) | <10m |  |  |  | >10m |

**CODE N°11: CANTILEVER**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Cantilever | None | 0 to 2m | 2 to 5m | 5 to 10m | >10m |

**CODE N°12: SITE EXPOSED**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | 0 | 1 |
| Distance to the sea | >5km | <5km |

**CODE N°13: WND SENSITIVITY**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 0 | Concrete or masonry structure |
| 1 | Steel, timber or composite structure |

**CODE N°14: WATERPROOFING OF ROOFS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| A1 | pitched roof - tiles |
| A2 | pitched roof - steel roof-deck |
| A3 | pitched roof - sandwich panels |
| B1 | flat roof-non practicable normal waterproofing |
| B2 | flat roof-non practicable inverted waterproofing |
| B3 | flat roof-practicable normal waterproofing |
| B4 | flat roof-practicable inverted waterproofing |

**CODE N°15: WATERPROOFING OF BASEMENT**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| A | External treatment (PVC, bitumen based,polyurethane membranes) |
| B | Crystallization technology by concrete additive |
| C | Other |
| Z | No basement |

 |

**PROJECT OVERALL VIEW**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CODE** | **1** | **2** | **3** | **4** | **5** | **6** | **7** | **8** | **9** | **10** | **11** | **12** | **13** | **14** | **15** |
| **BUILD.1** | A  | 0 | 0 Y | A B 1 | O | F B E Z | A | 0 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 1 | A2 B4 | Z |
| **Concerning the code table above, please complete one line per building/structure and explain each code below. PLEASE EXPLAIN IF SEVERAL CODES INDICATED IN THE SAME CASE.**CODE 1: The project is Residential. It consists of 3 floors CODE 2: No value has been indicated in the geotechnical report, so it is estimated that due to the geology of the area it is less than 5%.CODE 3: Ground water level was not detected in the boreholes. No chemical analysis results were found, but as a worst-case scenario, it is considered that the soil is aggressive to sulfates and chlorides.CODE 4: The foundation type: Isolated Footings,Raft Foundation,and the settlement value:25 mmCODE 5: No Ground Specific RisksCODE 6: steel works, in columns, reinforced concrete cast in-situ, in foundation, Hollow core, post-tensioning, in ground floor slab, steel works, reinforced concrete cast in-situ, in last floor slabsCODE 7: Concrete wall or masonryCODE 8: The total height is:18 m and the maximum headroom (Hp):6mCODE 9: Level of Foundation from street level: -2 m , -1 m CODE 10: The maximum span is, approximately: 8 mCODE 11: Maximum cantilever of the balconies: 2 mCODE 12: The distance to the sea < 5 kmCODE 13: Concrete or masonry structure and Steel, timber, or composite structureCODE 14: The roof waterproofing is not mentioned and the common case is pitched roof - steel roof-deck, flat roof-practicable inverted waterproofingCODE 15: No basement**Summary description of the work, nature of the foundations and of the structure, specify the particularities (for example the presence of a ground water level and its position in relation to the last basement, the presence of basements or existing buildings along an excavation).** The project is Residential. It consists of 3 floors , Total Building height = 18 mThe project consists of reinforced concrete beams and columns, founded on Isolated Footings,Raft Foundation,The floor slab of all slabs, including roof slab, are 32cm thick reinforced concrete hollow block slabs with beams, and 15cm thick reinforced concrete solid slabs |

|  |
| --- |
| **DRAWINGS****Please annex the following drawings, if available:**[x]  **LOCATION** [x]  **GENERAL DRAWING**[x]  **PLANS** [x]  **SECTIONS**[x]  **FACADES & ROOFS & TERRACES**[x]  **FOUNDATIONS**[ ]  **OTHER, PLEASE SPECIFY:** THESE DRAWINGS ARE ATTACHED IN ANNEX I. DRAWINGS. |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PROVISIONAL TOTAL COST OF WORKS**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Are Architect and Engineering Consultants fees included in the cost of the works:  |  [x]  YES [ ]  NO |

1. Total cost of the works: 656,737.00 SAR
2. Structural works: 258,197.00 SAR
3. Envelope: 41,388.00 SAR
4. Non-structural works: 133,170.00 SAR
5. Equipment, fixtures, and fittings: 43,440.00 SAR
6. External works: 62,881.00 SAR
7. Design and professional fees: 32,000.00 SAR
8. Taxes: 85,661.33 SAR

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Is there any special equipment (industrial machinery used in the manufacture of products or services) included in the cost other than those usually used in common constructions?  |  [ ]  YES [x]  NO |

If so, please specify: Nature: Cost:**DATES AND CONSTRUCTION TIMES**Expected duration of work (months): 12monthsDate of commencement of construction: 19-Jun-2022Date of the first on-site visit of the Inspection Agency: 04-Jul-2022Expected date of practical completion: 30-Jun-2023 |

**TITLE II**

|  |
| --- |
| **SITE DESCRIPTION**Is the building likely to be flooded (river, lake or sea, ground water level)? [x]  YES [ ]  NOMaximal level of the ground water level to ground floor and to the last basement: - Ground water level was not detected in the boreholes - Ground floor level is +0.54 m.- No Basement Is there a system to prevent the effect of the water under-pressure?  [ ]  YES [x]  NOIf YES, please specify:Is the building located in a seismic area?  [x] YES [ ]  NO If YES, could you specify the level of protection? (Specify: statutory or contractual?): statutory Acceleration value: PGA=Not Mentioned .Indicate in % the ground slope: No value has been indicated in the geotechnical report, so it is estimated that due to the geology of the area it is less than 5%..If >15%, was the landslide risk evaluated in the Geotechnical Report and/or the design? NA [ ]  YES [ ]  NOIf NO, please make a reserve in conclusions. Is the building located in a cyclone area? [ ]  YES [x]  NOWind speed considered in the calculations (km/h): approximately km/hIs snow load applicable? [ ]  YES [x]  NOIf so, snow load value: Is the site located in a hostile environment (<5 km sea, soil, and underground water)? [x]  YES [ ]  NOIf YES, specify the nature and the protection provided:The nature:Ground water level was not detected in the boreholes. No chemical analysis results were found, but as a worst-case scenario, it is considered that the soil is aggressive to sulfates and chlorides.The protection provided:- Use of (SRC) sulfate resisting cement type 5, Minimum Cement Content 350 kg/m3, the maximum water-cement ratio in the concrete mix is 0.50, and the minimum reinforcement concrete cover is 75 mm according to the geotechnical report. - Foundation elements should be coated below the ground surface with Bitumen. |

|  |
| --- |
| **GEOLOGY - TOPOGRAPHY – FOUNDATIONS**Has a geotechnical engineer been involved in the design? [ ]  YES [x]  NOIs there a geotechnical report? [x]  YES [ ]  NO(If YES, please indicate the scope, number, and type of geotechnical tests and describe the layers including thickness).Number of boreholes: 2- Borehole 1: BH-1, depth of: 10m- Borehole 2: BH-2, depth of: 10mType of tests and trials: - Moisture Content- Shear Test ParametersDescription of the layers including thickness: (0.00 m -2.00 m) Fill material
(2.00 m - 4.00 m) Silty clay
(4.00 m - 10.00 m) Poorly graded sand with clay   |

|  |
| --- |
| Are the adopted foundations founded on natural soil? [x]  YES [ ]  NODepth of excavation: -2 m from the street level.Depth of soil supporting foundations: -2 m and -1 m from the street level.Nature of soil supporting foundations: well graded sand with silt, yellowish brown, medium dense, dry.All earthworks to be performed shall be compliant with the recommendations indicated in the geotechnical report. Please describe the foundations (level of foundation, bearing capacity, bearing pressure):* Foundation type: Isolated Footings,Raft Foundation,
* Bearing Capacity: 2 kg/cm2.

Are the conclusions of the Geotechnical Report relevant? [x]  YES [ ]  NOIf NOT, please explain the reason: Is the ultimate settlement indicated in the geotechnical report? [x]  YES [ ]  NOPlease indicate the value: 25 mm.Is the foundation system in line with the soil report? [x]  YES [ ]  NOIf NOT, please precise the differences and the reason: Has the RD1 report been filled in? [ ]  YES [x]  NOIf YES, please mark below the reason:[ ]  Presence of back fill or compressible or expansible layers being used as foundations for the works[ ] Presence of piles, diaphragm walls or shafts superior to 3 m deep[ ]  Presence of ground slopes exceeding 30% or cliffs sides[ ]  Risk of ground sliding after soils excavationAre additional surveys needed? [x]  YES [ ]  NOIf YES, please precise: - According to 303 Structural - Soil and Foundations Standard, in table 2.1, the minimum depth of one third of the boreholes for this case is 9 m (measured from level of foundation bottom), and this does not comply.- According to 303 Structural - Soil and Foundations standard, in table 2.1, the minimum number of boreholes must be three at least, and this doesn’t comply.- Chemical Analysis- Soil/Rock Quality Degree Test |

**TITLE III**

|  |
| --- |
| **The items below must be descripted briefly but detailed enough to include any relevant information.**The used technologies must be specified where is possible: traditional construction, prefabricated structural elements, steel/concrete mixed structures, pre-tensioned (factory or site), welding (factory or site), etcIt is a traditional system. **Please indicate if innovative materials or technics are used which means (completion of RD2 report mandatory).**No innovative materials or technics are used.**VERTICAL STRUCTURES**Full description of the adopted model.  Are there non-vertical loads/transfer structures? [ ]  YES [x]  NO**VERTICAL ELEMENTS (COLUMNS, BEARING WALLS)****Nature (reinforced or prestressed concrete, metal, timber...):** **- Steel Columns**Type of steel section: Not Mentioned**HORIZONTAL STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS****FOUNDATIONS****Nature (concrete, masonry, ..):** Cast in situ.- Use of (SRC) sulfate resisting cement type 5, Minimum Cement Content 350 kg/m3, the maximum water-cement ratio in the concrete mix is 0.50, and the minimum reinforcement concrete cover is 75 mm according to the geotechnical report. - Foundation elements should be coated below the ground surface with Bitumen.**Footings**fc`: Not MentionedFy: Not Mentioned**FLOOR SLABS****Nature (reinforced or prestressed concrete, metal, timber...):****- Hollow core slabs:**Fc= Not MentionedFy= Not MentionedMaximum span for beams (m): 8 mMaximum span for slabs (m): 7 m**- Maximum cantilever of the balconies (m):2 m****- Post Tension slabs:**Fc= Not MentionedFy= Not MentionedMaximum span for beams (m): 7 mMaximum span for slabs (m): 8 m- Maximum cantilever of the balconies (m):2 m**ROOF STRUCTURAL ELEMENTS** Please note if those are: [x]  FLAT [x]  PITCHEDIf flat, similar to floor slab? [x]  YES [ ]  NOIf NO indicate modifications:If pitched, please note its main characteristics: |

|  |
| --- |
| HEAVY FACADESType, total thickness, rendering, cladding... (Specify load-bearing facades or not, precast, or not.)**Façade details:** Concrete wall or masonry**LIGHT-WEIGHT FACADES**Not ApplicableFrame (aluminum, steel, timber or other): Filling (glass, composite wall, in this case, specify the constitution): Total surface of each type of façade: Possibility to replace the façade elements easily: [ ]  YES [ ]  NO**HORIZONTAL STABILITY** Traditional structural elements (frame, inner walls, bracings...)? [x]  YES [ ]  NOIf not: fill in the complementary report RD2Traditional structural elements are reinforced concrete frames.**BASEMENT WATERPROOFING**The project doesn´t include basementPresence of water (water flow, water table)? [ ]  YES [ ]  NOIf YES: solution chosen (waterproofness, drainage, and shaft lining...)waterproofing system: **FACADE WATERPROOFING** No specification has been found regarding façade waterproofing insulation.  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| ROOF WATERPROOFING**Roof details:** The roof details are not mentioned.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

 |
| GROUND SLABIs the ground slab suspended? [ ]  YES [x]  NO If NOT, is the ground slab bearing on backfill? [x]  YES [ ]  NO Maximum thickness of backfill: 2.34 m from the bottom of the foundation to the bottom of the slab on grade.Loads:Spread: [x]  YES [ ]  NO Value: not informed Concentrating/rolling: [ ]  YES [x]  NO Value:Other: [ ]  YES [x]  NO Value: |

**TITLE IV**

|  |
| --- |
| **IDENTIFIED AGGRAVATING RISKS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**(For example, large span beams or slabs, foundation for heavy and/or vibrating machinery, etc.)It is necessary to submit the documents indicated in title I, referring to the documents used in this report. **Design (RD5):**- Add new ticket test Add new ticket test- Add new ticket test Add new ticket test- aaa bbbb- The project has Steel elements, check the structure stability and design, and add your comments and recommendations as a ticket eck the structure stability and design, and add your comments and recommendations as **Inspection (RD5):**- abc- The length of the building is greater than 45 m.**Technical reservations:**- Technical reservation: The ground slab is supported on a backfill of more than 30cm. - Technical reservation: The existence of raft and another footing type working together in the same building was detected, it is probable that the raft will have a higher settlement than the footings, It is necessary to analyze in greater detail the differential settlements between both types of foundations. type working together in the same building was detected, it is probable that the raft will have a higher settlement than the footings, It is necessary to analyze in greater detail the differential settlements between both types of foundations.**Notes:**- a- The project has Post tension elements, check the structure stability and design, and add your comments and recommendations as a ticket- According to 303 Structural - Soil and Foundations standard, in table 2.1, the minimum number of boreholes must be three at least, and this doesn’t comply.- The warranty of facades insulation must be provided by the client before issuing (RD3).- According to 303 Structural - Soil and Foundations Standard, in table 2.1, the minimum depth of one third of the boreholes for this case is 9 m (measured from level of foundation bottom), and this does not comply.**Aggravating risks:**- It was not specified how the connection between the masonry of the facade and the concrete structure is made.- No specification has been found regarding insulation and waterproofing of the joints between different types of facades.- Type of steel reinforcement used for foundation, Hollow core slabs, Post Tension slabs, has not been mentioned in the structure plans.- There are no details about lintels (dimension and reinforcement).- The soil study doesn't present soil/rock quality degree tests.- Type of steel section properties for Steel columns, has not been mentioned in the structure plans. |

|  |
| --- |
| **TECHNICAL STANDARDS USED IN THE PROJECT:** * 301 Structural – Loading and Forces.
* 302 Structural – Testing and Inspection.
* 303 Structural – Soil and Foundations.
* 304 Structural – Concrete Structures.
* 305 Structural – Masonry Structures.
* 306 Structural – Steel Structures.

Are they relevant? [x]  YES [ ]  NOIf NOT, please specify why:  |

**TITLE V**

**CONCLUSIONS**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Additional reports needed to estimate the risks and issuing date:  [ ]  RD1 Special Foundation Report – Expected date:  [ ]  RD2 Innovative materials/procedures report – Expected date: [x]  RD3 Waterproofing assessment report (Waterproofing Certificate Approval) – Expected date: 29-Jun-2024 [x]  RD5 Works interruption report – Expected date: It will be issued to highlight major deviation noted on site during inspection or failure to submit the required documents[x]  RD6 Final risk assessment report (Certificate of Approval) – Expected date: 01-Jul-2023**RISK TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT** (Risk assessment based on the checked documents. Please specify topics requiring special focus).Within the scope of its mission, the CPV, based on the technical documentation received from the project and after its general preliminary analysis and reflected in this report, it is considered that the TECHNICAL RISK IS AGGRAVATED However, If the questions raised in this report are clarified, some of the aggravating situations can be reduced to a normal risk situation.A list of AGGRAVATING RISKS is included in title IV of this document.

|  |
| --- |
| TI302-98516 |
| TI517-98516 |

technical reserves were opened:  |
| Does the risk require the involvement of any TIS headquarter expert? [ ]  YES [x] NO If YES, specify the reason: Minimum number of site visits: 5Of which:-Foundations visits: 01-Structure visits: 02-Waterproofing (if relevant): 01-Final inspection: 01 |

Riyadh, 14-Sep-2024
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# ANNEX I. DRAWINGS.

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
|  |

**LOCATION**